



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/817,113

04/01/2004

Buo-Chin Hsu

D&F-041

2814

22888

7590

06/03/2005

BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP  
TRI-VALLEY OFFICE  
1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G  
LIVERMORE, CA 94550

EXAMINER

MONDT, JOHANNES P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

★ ETL

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/817,113	HSU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Johannes P. Mondt	2826	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/1/04</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the application on 4/1/2004.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The examiner has considered the item listed on in Information Disclosure Statement filed 4/1/2004. A signed copy of Substitute Form PTO-1449 is herewith enclosed.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. ***Claim 1, 5-8 and 11-14*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shields (WO 02/11211). Shields teaches (Figures 3-5 (absorption of light in quantum dot), 7 (for structural details), 18 for specific embodiment of the layer stack comprising the quantum dot comprising semiconductor layer, the conductive layer and the insulating layer; and Figure 20 for use in an array):

an infrared photodetector (Ge absorbs light in the infrared portion of the spectrum), comprising:

a conducting layer 31 (page 21, final par.);

a semiconductor layer 47/49 comprising at least one layer 45 of quantum structure for confining a carrier in a barrier (discussion Figure 18, pages 29-30):  
the barrier being the quantum dot material);

an insulating layer (any of layers 37, 39 or 41) (discussion Figure 18, pages 29-30) formed between said conducting layer and said semiconductor layer;

a voltage source (page 17, final par.) connected to said conducting layer and said semiconductor layer for providing a bias voltage to generate a quantum tunneling effect, such that said carrier penetrates through said insulating layer to form a current (page 20, pars. 4 and 5);

wherein, when irradiated by infrared light, said carrier in said barrier absorbs the energy of said infrared light to jump out of said barrier (page 20, pars. 4 and 5) and is collected by an electrode 53 (Figure 7 and discussion thereof on pages 22-23) to form a photocurrent (*loc.cit.*). In conclusion, Shields anticipates claim 1.

*On claim 5:* said conducting layer is a reticular layer 103 when part of a segmented photodetector (cf. Fig. 20 and discussion thereof on page 31).

*On claim 6:* said semiconductor layer 47/49 is an p-type semiconductor (Figure 18 and discussion thereof on pages 29-30) (47 is both p-type and n-type, being undoped, while 49 is p-type through doping).

*On claim 7:* said quantum structure is a quantum dot (Figure 18, discussion thereof on pages 29-30).

*On claim 8:* said semiconductor layer comprises a Si substrate 33 (Figure 7 and discussion on pages 22-23) and plural layers of quantum structures for

instance 39 and 45 (Figure 18 and pages 29-30) formed on said Si substrate (Figure 18).

*On claim 11:* said insulating layer 37 has a thickness of 8nm thus meeting the claim limitation; said insulating layer 39 has a thickness of 5 nm thus meeting the claim; and said insulating layer 41 has a thickness of 8 nm thus meeting the claim limitation (Figure 18 and discussion thereof on pages 29-30).

*On claim 12:* the further limitation of this claim fails to further distinguish the claimed infrared photodetector over the prior art but instead only further limits its method of making.

*On claim 13:* Shields teaches (Figures 3-5 (absorption of light in quantum dot), 7 (for structural details), 18 for specific embodiment of the layer stack comprising the quantum dot comprising semiconductor layer, the conductive layer and the insulating layer; and Figure 20 for use in an array):  
an infrared photodetector (Ge absorbs light in the infrared portion of the spectrum), comprising:

a conducting layer 31 (Figures 7 and 18: pages 22-23 and 29-30);

a p-type semiconductor layer 47/49 (Figure 18) comprising at least one layer 45 of quantum structure for confining a carrier in a barrier (Figure 18 and pages 29-30: the barrier being the quantum dot material);

an insulating layer (any of layers 37, 39 or 41) (pages 29-30) formed between said conducting layer and said semiconductor layer (Figure 7 and pages 22-23);

a voltage source (pages 17-18) with a positive electrode connected to said conducting layer with a negative electrode connected to said p-type semiconductor layer (loc.cit.) for providing a bias voltage to generate a quantum tunneling effect, such that said carrier penetrates through said insulating layer to form a current (loc.cit.);

wherein, when irradiated by infrared light, said carrier in said barrier absorbs the energy of said infrared light to jump out of said barrier (pages 20-21) and is collected by an electrode 53 (Figure 7 and pages 22-23) to form a photocurrent (loc.cit.). In conclusion, Shields anticipates claim 13.

*On claim 14:* Shields teaches (Figures 3A, (absorption of light in quantum dot and consequent excitation and tunneling of electron to collector), 7 (for structural details), 15 for specific embodiment of the layer stack comprising the quantum dot comprising semiconductor layer, the conductive layer and the insulating layer):

an infrared photodetector (Ge absorbs light in the infrared portion of the spectrum), comprising:

a conducting layer 49(Figure 15 and pages 27-28);

an n-type semiconductor layer 45 (Figure 15; N.B.: undoped InAs inherently has n-type conductivity: see O. Madelung, "Semiconductors – Basic Data", p. 154 on electron mobility) comprising at least one layer of a quantum structure for confining a carrier in a barrier (quantum dots in 45);

Art Unit: 2826

an insulating layer 47 (InGaAs: encapsulation: pages 25-26) formed between said conducting layer and said semiconductor layer (Figure 7 and pages 20-21);

a voltage source with a negative electrode connected to said conducting layer and with a positive electrode connected to said n-type semiconductor layer for providing a bias voltage to generate a quantum tunneling effect, such that said carrier penetrates through said insulating layer to form a current (discussion of Figure 3A on pages 19-20);

wherein, when irradiated by infrared light, said carrier in said barrier absorbs the energy of said infrared light to jump out of said barrier and is collected by an electrode connected to the conducting layer (collector) (Figure 7 and pages 22-23), to form a photocurrent (loc.cit.). In conclusion, Shields anticipates claim 14.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claim 2** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shields (WO 02/11211 A2) in view of Thomas et al (JP2002198503A). As detailed above, Shields anticipates claim 1. Shields also teaches said conducting layer 31 to be a doped

Art Unit: 2826

silicon layer (Figure 18 and col. 17, l. 63-65), but does not necessarily teach said doped silicon to be doped *polysilicon*. However, (a) polysilicon is well known in the art as emitter material, as witnessed by Thomas et al ("Solution", first sentence, in English abstract); while (b) Applicant is reminded that it has been held that mere selection of known materials generally understood to be suitable to make a device, the selection of the particular material being on the basis of suitability for the intended use, would be entirely obvious. In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416. *Motivation* to select poly-silicon rather than amorphous or monocrystalline silicon at least stems from the lower thermal budget needed for its deposition.

5. **Claims 3-4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shields (WO 02/11211 A2) in view of Akama (5,679,960). As detailed above, Shields anticipates claim 1. *Shields does not necessarily teach* said conductive layer to be a transparent electrode (claim 3), nor said transparent electrode to be made of indium-tin-oxide (ITO). . *However*, (a) in the art of emitter electrodes the use of ITO electrodes for their transparency as emitter electrodes in optoelectronic devices has long been known to be *obvious* for the specific advantage that their transparency admits a reduction of the loss of light, as witnessed for example by Akama (col. 28, l. 41-52), while (b) Applicant is reminded in this regard that it has been held that mere selection of known materials generally understood to be suitable to make a device, the selection of the particular material being on the basis of suitability for the intended use, would be entirely obvious. In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416. Motivation to include the teaching by



Art Unit: 2826

Akama at least derives from the need to minimize loss of optical input in the photodetector art.

6. **Claim 9** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shields (WO 02/11211 A2) in view of Kibbel et al (US 2002/0112755 A1). As detailed above, Shields anticipates claim 1. Shields does not necessarily teach the further limitation defined by claim 9. However, Shields does teach that the quantum dots can be made by the Stranski-Krastanow method (col. 6, l. 40-43) in which quantum dots are grown in a self-assembled way on a wetting layer. Furthermore, it is at least in accordance with said Stranski-Krastanov method to grow the Ge dots of Shields in a self-assembled way on a Ge wetting layer, as witnessed by Kibbel et al (see paragraph [0020]). Motivation to include the teaching by Kibbel et al at least derives from the recommendation in Shields itself when coupled with the relative simplicity of using a wetting layer of identical constitution.

7. **Claim 10** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shields (WO 02/11211 A2) in view of Berger et al (US 2003/0049894 A1). As detailed above, Shields anticipates claim 1. Shields does not necessarily teach the further limitation of claim 10. *However, it would have been obvious to include said further limitation in view of Berger et al*, who, in the art of tunneling barriers for devices based on silicon technology (title, abstract and par. [0003]) (hence closely related to the problem of the selection of tunnel barrier material) that SiO<sub>2</sub> is preferred as tunnel insulating layer material as it is compatible with silicon technology. Because the infrared photodetector

Art Unit: 2826

of Figure 18 is indeed based on Si technology (col. 17, l. 58-61) *motivation* exists to make the tunnel insulating layer to be a silicon oxide layer.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johannes P. Mondt whose telephone number is 571-272-1919. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM  
May 30, 2005

Patent Examiner:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Mondt', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Johannes Mondt (Art Unit: 2826)